

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	Case No. 3:17-cr-00102
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	District Judge Thomas M. Rose
	:	Magistrate Judge Sharon L. Ovington
vs.	:	
	:	
GLEND A M. CRAWFORD,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS¹

This case came before the Court for a plea hearing on March 1, 2018. Assistant United States Attorney Dwight K. Keller appeared and represented the Government, and F. Arthur Mullins appeared and represented Defendant. Defendant was present with counsel.

During the plea hearing, the undersigned had the opportunity to address Defendant in open court and to inform Defendant of all rights and privileges as set forth in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1). Further, the undersigned carefully inquired of Defendant regarding her understanding of the agreement, as well as her competence to understand the agreement. Having fully inquired, the undersigned Judicial Officer finds that Defendant's tendered plea of guilty as charged in the Indictment was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. Additionally, based upon the statement of facts, which were read into the record and

¹ Attached is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendations.

affirmed by Defendant, the undersigned finds that there is a sufficient factual basis for finding that Defendant is in fact guilty of Making a False Statement in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) and Making a False Document in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(3).

Based upon the foregoing, it is **RECOMMENDED** that the District Court accept Defendant's plea of guilty as charged in Counts One and Three of the Indictment currently pending against her and find her guilty as charged of Making a False Statement in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) and Making a False Document in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(3).

It is further **RECOMMENDED** that the District Court defer acceptance of the proposed binding plea agreement until it has the opportunity to review the pre-sentence investigation report (PSR).

Pending the Court's acceptance of Defendant's guilty plea, Defendant has been referred to the Probation Department for a pre-sentence investigation and preparation of the PSR.

March 20, 2018

s/Sharon L. Ovington
Sharon L. Ovington
United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE REGARDING OBJECTIONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with this Report and Recommendations. Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendation is based in whole or in part upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within **FOURTEEN** days after being served with a copy thereof.

Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).